Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/697,025	NIKOONAHAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gordon J. Stock	2877
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after allowance</u>		
(1) Gordon J. Stock.	(3)	
(2) <u>James Hsue</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2005</u>	Time: <u>1 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
•		
Prior art documents discussed:		
D. All		
Part II.	NAL MATURE OF MULATIMA	DIOCHOOFD.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	KAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
An a OM TOO		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)
(Englished) (ADDREAD)	Applicant a Nebiesenialive Si	שוופנטוכ – זו מטטוטטוומנכז

Application No. 09/697,025

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Specifically, Examiner explained to Mr. Hsue that there was a miscommunication between two examiners during a redocketing of the case; therefore, two notice of allowances/allowabilities were mailed on August 24, 2005. A supplement notice of allowability will be mailed out to confirm the Examiner's Official stand; wherein, no Examiner's amendment was made and the rejection of claims 48-50 under 35 U.S.C. second paragraph was withdrawn...